



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/830,140	04/23/2004	Takashi Okazoe	252019US0CONT	7426
22850	7590	08/11/2006	EXAMINER	
C. IRVIN MCCLELLAND OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			HU, HENRY S	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1713	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/830,140	OKAZOE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Henry S. Hu	1713	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Henry S. Hu. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Thomas Cunningham (registration # 45,394). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 August 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: all.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

H. H  
Aug. 3, 2006

DW  
DAVID W. WU  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Several issues raised on Non-Final office action filed on June 8, 2006 are discussed in detail. In order to be totally distinguished from two 103(a) rejections of Kazuya and Pkazoe each individually in view of Connolly for obviousness, unexpected results with comparative examples may be needed. Examiner further suggests adding some structure-property relationship particularly due to the existence of dioxole five-membered ring structure.

Attorney points out that all R factors need to be addressed fully in parent Claims 11, 12 and 15. Examiner furthermore points out that rewriting parent Claim 18 into a solid presentation may be necessary for future DIV submission; molecular weights in Claims 13 and 15 also need to be clarified with specified measurement method.

11, 12  
Aug. 3, 2006